Infectious HIV in Breastmilk: Fact or Fantasy?

David Crowe, President Alberta Reappraising AIDS Society David.Crowe@aras.ab.ca Phone: 1-403-220-0129

La Leche League International Conference Session 205: Perspectives on HIV, AIDS and Breastfeeding Research

"Fear of HIV transmission is the biggest threat to breastfeeding in the Third World, where a large fraction of women are HIV-positive"

Objective

To weigh the evidence for HIV existing as infectious particles in breastmilk.

Detecting HIV in Breastmilk

Basic Test Definitions

Antibody Evidence

Antigen Evidence

DNA PCR Evidence

RNA PCR Evidence

Co-Culture Evidence

Gold Standard

But, I was told...

Basic Test Definitions

results will be false!

www.aras.ab.ca Se B

Specificity Sensitivity Reproducibility

"Gold Standard"

The 'Rare Condition' Testing Dilemma Fraction of uninfected people who test negative.
Fraction of infected people who test positive.
Tests produce same results on same specimen when run by different labs, different people, etc. No substitute for Specificity and Sensitivity!
Unambiguous evidence for presence of a pathogen.
Used to 'test the tests'.
If a test is 99% specific (1/100 people test false positive) and is used in a population where 1/1000

people are actually infected, 9 out of 10 positive

Alberta Reappraising AIDS Society

Antibody Evidence

- Antibody: a protein produced by the body to react with proteins from the pathogen (antigens).
- Detection: placing serum in contact with HIV antigens, e.g. in the ELISA or Western Blot tests.
- Antibodies are passed from mother to child via breastmilk
- Antibodies are evidence for *past* exposure to a virus, not active infection
- Antibodies can cross-react with other antigens from other pathogens or other conditions (e.g. auto-immunity)

Flies in the Ointment?

[Burke, 1988] calculated high accuracy by using an artificially high number of tests (7). But using the normal ELISA+ELISA+WB:

"approximately 1% of all initial screening ELISAs were reactive, 50% of repeat ELISAs were reactive, and 30% to 40% of first Western blot assays were reactive and diagnostic."

[Kion, 1991] made lab mice produce antibodies to HIV:

"Alloimmune mice...were shown to make antibodies against gp120 and p24 of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and mice of [two] autoimmune strains...made antibodies against gp120. This is surprising because the mice were not exposed to HIV." Also see [Strandstrom, 1990] with dogs.

- Not everybody with AIDS has HIV antibodies. [Gallo, 1985] found that 88% tested positive and [Weiss, 1985] found 82%.
- [Minkoff, 1998] notes that "15%-20% of [antibody] tests from lowrisk patients will be indeterminate and remain so...over many months."

HIV Antibodies & Breastmilk

- Antibodies in breastmilk and in babies may come from the mother, and cannot be construed as a sign of an active infection.
- The assumption that at 9, 12, 15 or 18 months antibodies in children reflect an active infection is widely used, but highly questionable.
- Just because many (not all) people with AIDS have somewhat similar antibodies does not mean that the antibodies are associated with a virus, and that this virus is the cause of AIDS!
- Simple antibody tests (ELISA) are validated with more complex antibody tests (WB), a sophisticated form of circular reasoning.
- **Conclusion:** Antibodies *cannot* be used to detect infectious HIV in breastmilk

Antigen Evidence

Antigen: a protein that is believed to come from HIV **Detection:** by reaction of serum with antibodies to (usually) p24

- Antigens can cross-react with other antibodies
- Antigen tests detect proteins, not necessarily infectious virus particles
- Should be taken more seriously than antibody tests, but is actually given less weight than antibody tests

Prone to Problems?

- [Blanche, 1994] notes that p24 is rare in HIV+ mothers:
 "At the time of delivery, HIV-1 p24 antigen was detected in serum from 16 of 108 [HIV+] women (15%)"
- [Fischl, 1990] found only half of HIV(antibody)+ people had p24:
 "205 subjects (of 406 tested (50%)) had detectable serum levels of HIV antigen before treatment"
- [Faulk, 1991] found HIV antigens in *uninfected* human placentas: "Cryostat sections of human normal term placentae were...examined for HIV protein antigens gp120, p17, p24, and gp41...Antigens gp120 and p17 were identified in normal chorionic villi in vimentin-positive fibroblast-like cells and in endothelium, respectively. Antigen p24 was localized to HLA-DR positive cells that morphologically resembled macrophages in areas of villitis."
- [Urano, 1994] note that these tests have low Specificity and Sensitivity: "p24 antigen was detected in 6 [of 32] patients of group P [positive] and 2 [of 27] patients of group N [HIV-negative]."

What do Antigens Tell us?

- Antigen tests cannot distinguish infectious from uninfectious particles nor true reactions from false reactions.
- Antigen tests appear to be very misleading
- **Conclusion:** Antigen tests cannot be used to prove the presence of infectious HIV in breastmilk.

DNA PCR Evidence

- HIV DNA: "Reverse Transcribed" copy of HIV genome inserted into human DNA
- Detection: Using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) or similar techniques

Purpose: To count the number of infected cells in a sample

- Known as the 'viral load' test
- A small fraction of the HIV genome is used as a primer
- Assumes that the primer is 'conserved' and not found in other viruses, including Human Endogenous Retroviruses (HERVs)
- PCR is an exponential reaction, so errors multiply exponentially
- Measures DNA, does not count virus particles, despite the name

RNA PCR Evidence

HIV RNA: Viral Load:

- Genetic material of HIV within the virus particle
- Viral Load: Term used to describe numbers derived from PCR calculations.

Detection: Using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) or similar techniques

Purpose: To count the number of virus particles in a sample

- Cannot distinguish between infectious and non-infectious particles
- The vast majority of PCR measurements (e.g. 59,999 out of 60,000) appears to indicate non-infectious (non-co-culturable) RNA

Questioning PCR

- [Busch, 1992] found poor sensitivity, specificity and reproducibility: "This proficiency study of PCR detection of HIV-1 DNA in serum identified a disturbingly high rate of nonspecific positivity with a widely employed gag primer pair system. In fact, the overall rate of positivity was not significantly different for serum specimens from seropositive patients and seronegative control donors (26% versus 18%)"
- [Mendoza, 1998] found a 5-20% rate of false positives among healthy, HIV-negative people.
- [Dunn, 2000] found this super-sensitive test to not be sensitive enough:

"Although DNA and RNA PCR and cell culture can detect very low concentrations of HIV-1, these assays yield a positive result in only 20-40% of verticallyinfected infants who are tested shortly after birth"

Questioning PCR (cont'd)

[Piatak, 1993] estimated that 59,999 out of 60,000 particles counted are not infectious:

"Circulating levels of plasma virus determined by QC-PCR also correlated with, but exceeded by an average of nearly 60,000-fold..., titers [amounts] of infectious HIV-1 determined by [co-culture]."

- [Rich, 1999] doesn't recommend PCR for diagnosis of infection: *"Plasma viral load tests for HIV-1 were neither developed nor evaluated for the diagnosis of HIV-1 infection; therefore their diagnostic specificity is not well delineated when applied to persons who are negative for HIV antibody. We report two cases of false-positive results..."*
- Even the manufacturers are dubious [Roche, 1996]:

"The AMPLICOR HIV-1 MONITOR Test is an in vitro nucleic acid amplification test for the quantitation of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 (HIV-1) RNA in human plasma...[It] is not intended to be used as a screening test for HIV or as a diagnostic test to confirm the presence of HIV infection"

Using PCR on Breastmilk

- Viral load tests do not show that infectious HIV is present.
- Cells containing HIV DNA or Virions may be inactivated by breastmilk, saliva or the infant's gut...but still register positive.
- Highly sensitive. No guarantee that low levels of virus detectable by this incredibly sensitive test are biologically active enough to cause disease.
- Test not approved by FDA for diagnostic purposes.
- Tests not validated against actual virus particle counts (Gold Standard).
 - Lack of alternatives does not validate this test!

Conclusion: Detection of HIV DNA or RNA using PCR does not prove presence of active HIV.

Co-Culture Evidence

- Co-Culture: Cell culture infected with a pathogen that cannot grow by itself.
 Setup: Unpurified serum and stimulating chemicals added to a cell culture (often an immortal cancerous cell line).
 Detection: Presence of non-specific phenomena: Reverse Transcriptase activity, p24 antigen, antibody-containing cells, particles of the expected size in the unpurified culture, etc.
 - Often erroneously called 'culture' (minor error)
 - Often called 'isolation' (grievous error)
 - Believed to be the closest to a *Gold Standard* available in HIV research

How Co-Culture Works



What's wrong with this picture?

Co-Culture Conundrums

[Imagawa, 1989] document many with positive co-culture who stay negative:

"225 [co-]cultures of peripheral-blood lymphocytes from 133 seronegative men [at high risk of HIV infection] were performed, and HIV-1 was isolated [sic] in cultures from 31 men (23%). Of these men, four have seroconverted after being seronegative for 11 to 17 months after the initial isolation of the virus. The virus was not always isolated at every visit after the first successful isolation"

[Michaelis, 1987] show co-culture not concordant with other tests:

"Virus was isolated [sic] from...approximately 50% of [39 HIV+] individuals and 1/3 also yielded infectious virus in their serum. Three serum samples contained infectious HIV without any virus being recovered from the individuals' PMCs [peripheral mononuclear cells]...not all seropositive individuals have virus recoverable from their PMCs...isolation from serum is not a common event"

What Co-Culture Tells Us

- Co-culture should be initiated with purified virus particles (but isn't).
- Co-culture should be tested by purification of larger amounts of virus than it was innoculated with (but isn't).
- Stimulation of cells can activate Human Endogenous Retroviruses (HERV), that can act like external retroviruses.
- **Conclusion:** Co-culture cannot be considered valid unless it is compared against a *Gold Standard* or used more rigorously.

Gold Standard: Purification & Isolation of HIV

- HIV has never been purified from any human serum, including breastmilk.
- HIV has never been purified from a co-culture. Claims that extracts of co-culture were 'pure' were proven wrong by [Gluschankof, 1997] and [Bess, 1997].
- Isolation of the virus is necessary to determine what the HIV RNA and proteins (antigens) are.
 - Isolation of HIV requires purification
 - HIV tests must be validated against the only possible *Gold Standard*, proof of the presence of HIV in (and only in) samples that test positive

Conclusion: No HIV tests have been properly validated

But I was told...

- Many papers have claimed 'isolation' of HIV in breastmilk and other substances, but none have actually separated viral particles from their surroundings!
- Decision of CDC and other agencies to recommend against breastfeeding by HIV-positive mothers was based on [Thiry, 1985] and [Ziegler, 1985]
- [Thiry, 1985] studied 3 healthy, HIV+ mothers and found markers for HIV in the breastmilk.
 - [Ziegler, 1985] studied one HIV+ child of a mother given an HIV+ transfusion after birth. Neither mother nor child had AIDS. Father, brother and sister were HIV-negative. HIV status of mother before transfusion was unknown. No evidence that HIV was present in the breastmilk was provided.



For the Prosecution

Postnatal HIV transmission

Excess of HIV transmission in breastfeeding mothers

For the Defence

Consideration of 'exclusive' breastfeeding

Balance of risks

Postnatal HIV transmission

- Mother transfused at or after birth
- Baby later found to be HIV-positive
- HIV status of mother, breastmilk and blood often not known
- No control group (i.e. non-breastfeeding mother in same circumstances)
- No reason to publish similar cases where HIV transmission does not occur.
- Very small number of such cases due to unique circumstances, e.g. [Ziegler, 1985], [Lepage, 1987], [Weinbreck, 1988], [Colebunders, 1988], [Stiehm, 1991]
- Even if false-positive HIV test results are extremely rare, they could easily explain such a small number of cases

Excess of HIV transmission

- Comparison of fraction of HIV+ babies of mothers known to be HIV+ at delivery in breastfeeding versus formula-feeding groups
- Excess in breastfeeding groups *assumed* to be due to transmission via breastmilk, and not due to other differences between the groups.
- Some studies show evidence of 'randomization' errors (e.g. [Nduati, 2000]) that may indicate bias in the studies.
- [Dunn, 1992] summarized 6 previous studies (some unpublished) and estimated an excess risk of 14%. Other analyses of the same numbers produced estimates of 9, 11, 16 or 18%, due to the small number of HIV+, nursing mothers studied and large differences between studies.
- No consistent definition of what 'breastfeeding' is, specifically an extensive period (e.g. 6-12 months) of exclusive breastfeeding versus mixed-feeding.

Exclusive breastfeeding

- [Coutsoudis, 2001] found an insignificant difference between HIV transmission among exclusively breastfed and exclusively formula fed infants.
- Mixed fed infants had a higher incidence of transmission (26% versus 19%)
- There is no good explanation for these findings
- This work calls all previous epidemiologic studies into question.

Balance of risks

Question: Is a breastfed, HIV+ baby necessarily worse off than a formula fed, HIV-negative baby?

- [Nduati, 2000] found no difference in 2-year survival between HIV+ and HIV- babies, so invented the term "HIV-free survival" to equate "HIV+" with "soon to be dead".
- [Ryder, 1991] found that exclusively breastfed babies were healthier than formula fed babies of the same HIV status.
- [Dunn, 1992] showed that breastfeeding won't change the HIV status of the vast majority of babies.
- The mean time from HIV seroconversion to AIDS is over 10 years [Munoz, 1997]. By then, many formula-fed babies will be dead.
- There is a correlation between ill health of the mother and her baby [Kind, 1992].

Summary

- There is no test that can directly detect HIV in breastmilk.
- All indirect tests are subject to false results, particularly in third world countries.
- Epidemiologic studies rely on fallible test results, and usually consider only HIV status and not health
- Unquestioning acceptance of current dogmas about HIV and AIDS is one of the biggest threats to breastfeeding
- Discouraging breasfeeding by HIV+ mothers will have known major negative health effects
- Labelling mothers HIV+ will lead to neglect of them and their babies [Grinstead, 2001]

Your Challenge

Accept the current dogmas about HIV, breastfeeding and AIDS, and watch breastfeeding retreat in the Third World

Or

- Ignore majority opinions.
- Weigh the Evidence.
- Be prepared to Paddle up the Creek, Build a Summer Igloo, Sail into the Wind!

Resources

- http://www.virusmyth.com many links
- http://aras.ab.ca referenced quotes on testing, surrogate markers and negative effects of AIDS drugs. Plus links.
- Duesberg PH. *Inventing the AIDS Virus*. Regnery. 1996.
- Maggiore C. What if everything you thought you knew about AIDS was wrong? American Foundation for AIDS Alternatives. 2000. [Available from our society or from aliveandwell.org]
- Package of papers by the 'Perth Group', available at no charge from Dr. Valendar Turner (Email: vturner@cyllene.uwa.edu.au)

References

[Abbott, 1997]	Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 HIVAB HIV-1 EIA. Abbott Laborato- ries. 1997 Jan.
[Bagasra, 1992]	Bagasra O et al. Detection of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 provirus in mononuclear cells by in situ polymerase chain reaction. NEJM. 1992; 326(21): 1385-91.
[Baumslag, 1987]	Baumslag N. Breast-feeding and HIV Infection. Lancet. 1987 Aug 15; 2: 401.
[Bess, 1997]	Bess JW et al. Microvesicles Are a Source of Contaminating Cellular Proteins Found in Purified HIV-1 Preparations. Virology. 1997; 230(1): 134-144.
[Blanche, 1994]	Blanche S. Relation of the course of HIV infection in children to the severity of the disease in their mothers at delivery. NEJM. 1994 Feb 3; 330(5): 308-12.
[Burke, 1988]	Burke DS et al. Measurement of the false positive rate in a screening program for human immunodeficiency virus infections. NEJM. 1988; 319(15): 961-4.
[Busch, 1992]	Busch MP et al. Poor sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility of detection of HIV-1 DNA in serum by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome . 1992; 5(9): 872-879.
[Challakeree, 1993]	Challakeree K et al. False positive HIV-1 ELISA results in low risk subjects. West ern Journal of Medicine. 1993 Aug; 159(2): 214-5.

32 e	[Cleary, 1987]	Cleary PD et al. Compulsory premarital screening for the human immunodeficiency virus: Technical and public health considerations. JAMA. 1987; 258: 1757-
aras.ab.a	[Colebunders, 1988]	Colebunders R et al. Breastfeeding and transmission of HIV. Lancet. 1988 Dec 24/31; 1487.
ww.	[Cordes, 1995]	Cordes R et al. Pitfalls in HIV testing. Postgraduate Medicine. 1995; 98: 177.
un	[Coste, 1997]	Coste J et al. Effect of HIV-1 genetic diversity on HIV-1 RNA quantification in plasma: comparative evaluation of three commercial assays. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 1997; 15: 174.
Reappraising AIDS Society	[Couroucé, 1986]	Couroucé A-M et al. False-positive Western blot tests reactions to human immun- odeficiency virus in blood donors. Lancet. 1986 Oct 18; 2: 921-2.
	[Coutsoudis, 2001]	Coutsoudis A et al. Method of feeding and transmission of HIV-1 from mothers to children by 15 months of age: prospective cohort study from Durban, South Africa. AIDS. 2001 Feb 16; 15(3): 379-87.
	[Coutsoudis, 2001]	Coutsoudis A et al. Are HIV-infected women who breastfeed at increased risk of mortality? AIDS. 2001 Mar 30; 15(5): 653-5.
	[Craven, 1994]	Craven DE et al. Human immunodeficiency virus infection in pregnancy: epide- miology and prevention of vertical transmission. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1994; 15: 36-47.
	[Daar, 2001]	Daar ES et al. Diagnosis of primary HIV-1 infection. Ann Int Med. 2001 Jan 2; 134(1).
Alberta	[de Mendoza, 1998]	de Mendoza et al. False positives for HIV using commercial viral load quantifica- tion assays [not available at aidsonline.org]. AIDS. 1998; 12(15): 2076-7.

33	[Defer, 1992]	Defer C et al. Multicenter quality control of polymerase chain reaction for detec- tion of HIV DNA. AIDS. 1992; 6: 659-63.
s.ab.ca	[Dunn, 1992]	Dunn DT et al. Risk of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 transmission through breastfeeding. Lancet. 1992 Sep 5; 340: 585-8.
ww.ara	[Dunn, 2000]	Dunn DT et al. Interventions to prevent vertical transmission of HIV-1: effect on viral detection rate in early infant samples. AIDS. 2000 Jul 7; 14(10): 1421-8.
w	[European Col- laborative, 1992]	European Collaborative Study. Risk factors for mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1. Lancet. 1992 Apr 25; 339: 1007-12.
	[Faulk, 1991]	Faulk WP et al. HIV proteins in normal human placentae. American Journal of Reproductive Immunology. 1991; 25: 99-104.
aising AIDS Society	[Fischl, 1990]	Fischl MA et al. A randomized controlled trial of a reduced daily dose of Zidovu- dine in patients with the Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome. NEJM. 1990; 323(15): 1009-14.
	[Frederick, 1997]	Frederick T et al. Breastfeeding and Disease Progression Among Perinatally HIV- Infected Children in Los Angeles County (LAC). Conf Retroviruses Opportunis- tic Infect. 1997 Jan 22-26; 4th: 197 (abstract 720) http://64.58.70.224/ Retrovirus97/abstracts/A733_abstract.htm
	[Freeman, 1999]	Freeman WM et al. Quantitative RT-PCR: Pitfalls and Potential. BioTechniques. 1999 Jan; 26: 112-125.
Reappi	[Gallo, 1985]	Gallo RC et al. Serological detection of antibodies to HTLV-III in sera of patients with AIDS and pre-AIDS conditions. US Patent Office. 1985 May 28; 4,520,113.
Alberta		

34 mm.aras.ab.ca	[Gallo, 1987]	Gallo RC et al. Method of continuous production of retroviruses (HTLV-III) from patients with AIDS and pre-AIDS using permissive cells. US Patent Office. 1987 Mar 24; 4,652,599.
	[Genesca, 1989]	Genesca J et al. What do Western Blot indeterminate patterns for Human Immu- nodeficiency Virus mean in EIA-negative blood donors? Lancet. 1989 Oct 28; II: 1023-5.
	[Gluschankof, 1997]	Gluschankof P et al. Cell membrane vesicles are a major contaminant of gradient- enriched human immunodeficiency virus type-1 preparations. Virology. 1997; 230(1): 125-133.
	[Grinstead, 2001]	Grinstead OA et al. Positive and negative life events after counselling and testing: the voluntary HIV-1 counselling and testing efficacy study. AIDS. 2001 May 25; 15(8): 1019-23.
Reappraising AIDS Society	[Guay, 1996]	Guay LA et al. Detection of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) DNA and p24 antigen in breast milk of HIV-1-infected Ugandan women and ver- tical transmission. Pediatrics. 1996 Sep; 98(3): 438-44.
	[Healey, 1993]	Healey DS et al. Apparent HIV-1 glyco-protein reactivity on Western Blot in uninfected blood donors. AIDS. 1993; 7: 655-8.
	[Hira, 1989]	Hira SK et al. Perinatal transmission of HIV-1 in Zambia. BMJ. 1989; 299: 1250-
	[Hira, 1990]	Hira SK et al. Apparent vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by breast-feeding in Zambia. J Pediatr. 1990; 117(3): 421-4.
Alberta	[Ho, 1989]	Ho DD et al. Quantitation of Human Immunodeficiency Virus type 1 in the blood of infected persons. NEJM. 1989; 321(24): 1621-5.

35	[Hutto, 1991]	Hutto C et al. A hospital-based prospective study of perinatal infection with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Pediatr. 1991; 118: 347-53.
Alberta Reappraising AIDS Society www.aras.ab.ca	[Imagawa, 1989]	Imagawa DT et al. Human immunodeficiency virus type I infection in homosex- ual men who remain seronegative for prolonged periods. NEJM. 1989 Jun 1; 320(22): 1458-62.
	[Kalyanaraman, 1984]	Kalyanaraman VS et al. Antibodies to the core protein of lymphadenopathy-asso- ciated virus (LAV) in patients with AIDS. Science. 1984 Jul 20; 225(4659): 321- 3.
	[Kashala, 1994]	Kashala O et al. Infection with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) and human T cell lymphotropic viruses among leprosy patients and contacts: cor- relation between HIV-1 cross-reactivity and antibodies to lipoarabinomannan. JID. 1994 Feb; 169: 296-304.
	[Kelleher, 1996]	Kelleher CA et al. Expression of novel-transposon-containing mRNAs in human T cells. J Gen Virol. 1996; 77: 1101-10.
	[Kind, 1992]	Kind C et al. Epidemiology of vertically transmitted HIV-1 infection in Switzer- land: results of a nationwide prospective study. Eur J Pediatr. 1992; 151: 442-8.
	[Kion, 1991]	Kion TA et al. Anti-HIV and anti-anti-MHC antibodies in alloimmune and autoimmune mice. Science. 1991 Sep 6; 253: 1138-40.
	[Kleinman, 1998]	Kleinman S et al. False-positive HIV-1 test results in a low-risk screening setting of voluntary blood donation. JAMA. 1998 Sep 23/30; 280(12): 1080-5.
	[Kreiss, 1997]	Kreiss J. Breastfeeding and vertical transmission of HIV-1. Acta Paediatr Suppl. 1997; 421: 113-7.

l States: a selec- l. Lancet. 1987
ł. Lancet. 1987
maternal HIV in Kigali,
1 Breast Milk.
uals by a direct 1988; ii: 418-
e rate? NEJM.
om serum (let-
in Perinatol.
2; 337: 286-
ma in 1 ua 1 e r or in

37	[Muñoz, 1997]	Muñoz A et al. The incubation period of AIDS. AIDS. 1997; Vol 11 (suppl A): S69-76.
Alberta Reappraising AIDS Society www.aras.ab.ca	[Nagelkerke, 1995]	Nagelkerke NJ et al. The duration of breastfeeding by HIV-1 infected mothers in developing countries: balancing benefits and risks. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1995 Feb; 8(2): 176-181.
	[Nduati, 1995]	Nduati RW et al. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected cells in breast milk: association with immunosuppression and vitamin A deficiency. J Infect Dis. 1995 Dec; 172(6): 1461-8.
	[Nduati, 2000]	Nduati R et al. Effect of breastfeeding and formula feeding on transmission of HIV-1; a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2000 Mar 1; 283: 1167-74.
	[Nduati, 2001]	Nduati R et al. Effect of breastfeeding on mortality among HIV-1 infected women: a randomised trial. Lancet. 2001 May 26; 357: 1651-5.
	[Newell, 1998]	Newell ML. Mechanisms and timing of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1. AIDS. 1998; 12(8): 831-7.
	[Ng, 1991]	Ng V. Serological diagnosis with recombinant peptides/proteins. Clin Chem. 1991; 37(10): 1667-8.
	[Nicoll, 2000]	Nicoll A et al. Infant feeding and HIV-1 infection. AIDS. 2000; 14(suppl 3): S57-74.
	[Osterholm, 1985]	Osterholm MT et al. Screening donated blood and plasma for HTLV-III anti- body: facing more than one crisis? NEJM. 1985; 312: 1185-8.
	[Palasanthiran, 1993]	Palasanthiran P et al. Breast feeding during primary maternal human immunode- ficiency virus infection and the risk of transmission from mother-to-infant. JID. 1993 Feb; 167(2): 441-4.

ta Reappraising AIDS Society www.aras.ab.ca	[Papadopulos- Eleopulos, 1988]	Papadopulos-Eleopulos D. Reappraisal of AIDS: Is the oxidation induced by the risk factors the primary cause? Med Hypotheses. 1988; 25: 151-162.
	[Papadopulos- Eleopulos, 1993]	Papadopulos-Eleopulos D et al. Is a positive Western blot proof of HIV infection? Bio/Technology. 1993; 11: 696-702.
	[Papadopulos- Eleopulos, 1993]	Papadopulos-Eleopulos D et al. Has Gallo proved the role of HIV in AIDS? Emergency Medicine [Australia]. 1993; 5: 113-123.
	[Papadopulos- Eleopulos, 1996]	Papadopulos-Eleopulos E et al. The isolation of HIV - has it really been achieved? The case against. Continuum. 1996 Sep/Oct; 4(3).
	[Papadopulos- Eleopulos, 1997]	Papadopulos-Eleopulos E et al. HIV antibodies: further questions and a plea for clarification. Current Medical Research and Opinion. 1997; 13(10): 627-634.
	[Papadopulos- Eleopulos, 1997]	Papadopulos-Eleopulos E et al. A critical appraisal of the evidence for the isolation of HIV. 1997 http://www.virusmyyth.com/aids/data/epappraisal.htm.
	[Papadopulos- Eleopulos, 1998]	Papadopulos-Eleopulos E et al. HIV antibody tests and viral load: more unan- swered questions and a further plea for clarification. Current Medical Research and Opinion. 1998; 14: 185-6.

Alberta Reappraising AIDS Society

www.aras.ab.ca 6	[Papadopulos- Eleopulos, 1998]	Papadopulos-Eleopulos E et al. A Critical Analysis of the HIV Antibody Tests and the Evidence for the Existence of HIV. 12th World AIDS Conference. 1998 Jun 28 http://www.virusmyth.com/aids/perthgroup/geneva.
	[Piatak, 1993]	Piatak M Jr et al. High levels of HIV-1 in plasma during all stages of infection determined by competitive PCR. Science. 1993 Mar 19; 259: 1749-54.
	[Preble, 1998]	Preble EA et al. HIV and Infant Feeding; A Chronology of Research and Policy Advances and their Implications for Programs. Academy for Educational Develop- ment. 1998 Sep.
	[Quinn, 1991]	Quinn TC et al. Early diagnosis of perinatal HIV infection by detection of viral- specific iGA antibodies. JAMA. 1991; 266: 3439-42.
Alberta Reappraising AIDS Society	[Ranki, 1988]	Ranki A et al. Interpretation of antibodies reacting solely with human retroviral core proteins. NEJM. 1988; 318: 448-9.
	[Rich, 1999]	Rich JD et al. Misdiagnosis of HIV Infection by HIV-1 Plasma Viral Load Test- ing: A Case Series. Ann Int Med. 1999 Jan 5; 130: 37-9.
	[Roche, 1996]	Amplicor HIV-1 Monitor Test. Roche. 1996.
	[Roland, 1999]	Roland ME et al. Pitfalls of HIV RNA testing in the San Francisco post-exposure prevention (PEP) project. Conf Retroviruses Opportunistic Infect. 1999 Jan 31-Feb 4; 6(101): Abstract no. 179.
	[Ruff, 1994]	Ruff A et al. Prevalence of HIV-1 DNA and p24 antigen in breast milk and corre- lation with maternal factors. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 1994; 7(1): 68-73.
	[Ryder, 1989]	Ryder RW et al. Perinatal Transmission of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 to Infants of Seropositive Women in Zaire. NEJM. 1989 Jun 22; 320(25): 1637-42.

40 v	[Ryder, 1991]	Ryder RW et al. Evidence from Zaire that Breast-feeding by HIV-1-seropositive Mothers is Not a Major Route for Perinatal HIV-1 Transmission but Does Decrease Morbidity. AIDS. 1991; 5: 709-714.
ww.aras.ab	[Safai, 1984] [Sahu, 2001]	Safai B et al. Seroepidemiological studies of human T-lymphotropic retrovirus type III in acquired Immunodeficiency syndrome. Lancet. 1984; 1: 1438. Sahu GK et al. Transient or occult HIV-1 infection in high-risk adults. AIDS.
ŝ	[Saxinger, 1987]	2001 Jun 15; 15(9): 1175-7. Saxinger WC et al. Competitive ELISA for the detection of HTLV-III antibodies. US Patent Office. 1987 Apr 28; 4,661,445.
	[Schüpbach, 1984]	Schüpbach J et al. Serological Analysis of a Subgroup of Human T-Lymphotropic Retroviruses (HTLV-III) Associated with AIDS. Science. 1984 May 4; 224: 503- 505.
Society	[Simonds, 1998]	Simonds RJ et al. Impact of zidovudine use on risk and risk factors for perinatal transmission of HIV. AIDS. 1998 Feb 12; 12(3): 301-308 http://www.aidson-line.org.
AIDS 3	[Steckelberg, 1988]	Steckelberg JM et al. Serologic testing for human immunodeficiency virus anti- bodies. Mayo Clin Proc. 1988; 63: 373-9.
raising	[Stiehm, 1991]	Stiehm ER et al. Transmission of human immunodeficiency virus infection by breastfeeding. J Pediatr. 1991; 118(3): 410-2.
Alberta Reapp	[Strandstrom, 1990]	Strandstrom HV et al. Studies with canine sera that contain antibodies which rec- ognize human immunodeficiency virus structural proteins. Cancer Res. 1990 Sep 1; 50(17 Suppl): 5628-5630.

41 <i>ap.ca</i>	[Sullivan, 1999]	Sullivan PS et al. Persistently negative HIV-1 antibody enzyme immunoassay screening results for patients with HIV-1 infection and AIDS: serologic, clinical, and virologic results. AIDS. 1999 Jan 14; 13(1): 89-96 http://www.aidson-line.org.
www.ara	[Thiry, 1985]	Thiry L et al. Isolation of AIDS Virus from Cell-free Breast Milk of Three Healthy Virus Carriers. Lancet. 1985 Oct 19; 891-892.
	[Tozzi, 1990]	Tozzi AE et al. Does breastfeeding delay progression to AIDS in HIV-infected children? AIDS. 1990; 4(12): 1293-1304.
	[Turner, 1994]	Turner VF. The HIV Western blot (letter). Med J Aust. 1994; 160: 807-808.
ppraising AIDS Society	[Urano, 1994]	Urano H et al. HIV isolation may not correlate with clinical state or immunologi- cal function of respective HIV infected patients. Int Conf AIDS. 1994 Aug; 10(2): 255.
	[Van de Perre, 1991]	Van de Perre P et al. Postnatal transmission of Human Immunodeficiency Virus 1 from mother to infant: a prospective cohort study in Kigali, Rwanda. NEJM. 1991; 325: 593-8.
	[Van de Perre, 1992]	Van de Perre P et al. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV by breastmilk: pre- sumed innocent or presumed guilty? Clin Infect Dis. 1992; 15: 502-7.
	[Van Dyke, 1999]	Van Dyke RB et al. The Ariel Project: A Prospective Cohort Study of Maternal- Child Transmission of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 in the Era of Maternal Antiretroviral Therapy. JID. 1999 Feb; 179(2): 319-28.
Alberta Rea	[Voevodin, 1992]	Voevodin A. HIV screening in Russia. Lancet. 1992; 339: 1548.

42	[Weinbreck,	Weinbreck P et al. Postnatal transmission of HIV infection. Lancet. 1988 Feb 27;
	1988]	1: 482.
www.aras.ab.ca	[Weiss, 1985] [Ziegler, 1985]	Weiss SH et al. Screening test for HTLV-III (AIDS agent) antibodies: specificity, sensitivity, and applications. JAMA. 1985; 253(2): 221-5. Ziegler J et al. Postnatal transmission of AIDS-associated retrovirus from mother to infant. Lancet. 1985 Apr 20; 1: 896-897.